United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

J	United States of America)		
	v.) Casa Na	4:15-CR-74	-1H
JA	AMES WALTON WILLIAMS) Case No.	4.15-01(-74	-111
	Defendant)		
	DETENTION ORDE	R PENDING T	RIAL	
	conducting a detention hearing under the Bail le defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142	e(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—Find	lings of Fact		
\Box (1) The defe	endant is charged with an offense described in	n 18 U.S.C. § 31	42(f)(1) and h	nas previously been convicted
of \square	a federal offense \Box a state or local offens	se that would have	ve been a fede	eral offense if federal
juris	sdiction had existed - that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more.		offense listed	in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	an offense for which the maximum sentence i	s death or life in	nprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or n	nore is prescri	bed in
				.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), o		•	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:		
	□ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destr	ructive device or	any other dar	ngerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	50		
	offense described in finding (1) was committed ral, state release or local offense.	ed while the defe	ndant was on	release pending trial for a
□ (3) A per	eriod of less than five years has elapsed since t	the 🗆 date of	conviction	☐ the defendant's release
from	prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
* /	ings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pother person or the community. I further firm			
	Alternative 1	Findings (A)		
$ \checkmark (1) $ Then	re is probable cause to believe that the defend	ant has committe	ed an offense	
Y f	for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is pres	cribed in 21	USC 801 et seq.
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the path the defendant's appearance and the	presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will not appear.
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— S	Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	I find that the testimony and informati	on submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
Ba be	imposed which would reasonably assure r the reasons indicated below there is no esure the defendant's appearance and/or s The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government The defendant's substance abuse histor The defendant's criminal history Other:	ght to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably afety of another person or the community. The lack of stable employment The lack of a suitable release plan The fact that the charges arose while on state probation The history of probation revocations
	Part II	—Directions Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent appeal. The defendant must be afford	
Date:	12/18/2015	Kimbuly a Swand
		Judge's Signature
		KIMBERLY A. SWANK, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		Name and Title